



GCE A LEVEL MARKING SCHEME

SUMMER 2025

**A LEVEL
MATHEMATICS
UNIT 4 APPLIED MATHEMATICS B
1300U40-1**

About this marking scheme

The purpose of this marking scheme is to provide teachers, learners, and other interested parties, with an understanding of the assessment criteria used to assess this specific assessment.

This marking scheme reflects the criteria by which this assessment was marked in a live series and was finalised following detailed discussion at an examiners' conference. A team of qualified examiners were trained specifically in the application of this marking scheme. The aim of the conference was to ensure that the marking scheme was interpreted and applied in the same way by all examiners. It may not be possible, or appropriate, to capture every variation that a candidate may present in their responses within this marking scheme. However, during the training conference, examiners were guided in using their professional judgement to credit alternative valid responses as instructed by the document, and through reviewing exemplar responses.

Without the benefit of participation in the examiners' conference, teachers, learners and other users, may have different views on certain matters of detail or interpretation. Therefore, it is strongly recommended that this marking scheme is used alongside other guidance, such as published exemplar materials or Guidance for Teaching. This marking scheme is final and will not be changed, unless in the event that a clear error is identified, as it reflects the criteria used to assess candidate responses during the live series.

		12	
Qu	Solution	Mark	Notes
3(a)	The article specifically claims delivery companies are <i>recruiting</i> bus drivers, implying bus driver numbers <i>decrease</i> as delivery driver numbers increase. This is a specific directional claim (negative correlation), so a one-tailed test is appropriate.	E1	E1 for reference to the specific directional nature of the claim (negative correlation / one direction only) <i>E0 for "the data looks negatively correlated" or reference to the scatter diagram only</i>
3(b)	<p>(Let ρ denote the population correlation coefficient)</p> <p>$H_0: \rho = 0$ $H_1: \rho < 0$</p> <p>Test statistic = -0.8206</p> <p>Critical value ($n = 8$, one-tailed, 5%): -0.6215</p> <p>Since $-0.8206 < -0.6215$, (there is sufficient evidence to) reject H_0.</p> <p>There is sufficient evidence at the 5% significance level of a significant negative linear correlation between number of delivery drivers and number of bus drivers.</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>E1</p>	<p>B1 correct hypotheses in terms of ρ (population); H_1 one-tailed negative; B0 for omission of ρ</p> <p>B1 test statistic = -0.8206 labelled as TS or used in correct comparison</p> <p>B1 correct critical value -0.6215 (from WJEC tables, $n = 8$, 5% one-tailed) B1 correct decision to reject H_0 with valid comparison</p> <p>E1 conclusion in context; must include word "negative"; cso — do not allow categorical statements</p>
3(b)(i)	The councilor might conclude a causal link because a strong negative correlation exists <i>and</i> a plausible mechanism is given (delivery companies actively recruiting bus drivers).	B1	B1 plausible contextual reason — correlation alone is insufficient; mechanism required
3(b)(ii)	The evidence supports the article's claim: as the number of delivery drivers increases, the number of bus drivers tends to decrease, which is consistent with delivery companies recruiting bus drivers. <i>Note: candidates must not overstate — cannot definitively establish causation from correlation alone.</i>	B1	B1 sensible conclusion relating back to the original claim about recruitment

		8	
Qu	Solution	Mark	Notes
	$X \sim N(28.3, 0.3^2)$		
4(a)	P(X < 28) $z = (28 - 28.3) / 0.3 = -1$ $P(X < 28) = P(Z < -1) = 1 - \Phi(1) = \mathbf{0.1587}$	M1 A1	M1 for correct standardisation A1 0.1587 (accept 0.158–0.159; M1A1 for correct answer from calculator)
4(b)	P(X > 29.1 X > 28.8) $P(X > 29.1 X > 28.8) = P(X > 29.1) / P(X > 28.8)$ $P(X > 28.8): z = (28.8 - 28.3) / 0.3 = 5/3 = 1.6\blacksquare$ $P(X > 28.8) = 1 - \Phi(1.667) = 1 - 0.9525 = 0.0475$ $P(X > 29.1): z = (29.1 - 28.3) / 0.3 = 8/3 = 2.6\blacksquare$ $P(X > 29.1) = 1 - \Phi(2.667) = 1 - 0.9962 = 0.0038$ $P(X > 29.1 X > 28.8) = 0.0038 / 0.0475 = \mathbf{0.0800}$ (3 s.f.)	M1 M1 A1 M1 A1 A1	M1 recognising conditional probability, leading to correct fraction M1 correct standardisation for 28.8 A1 $P(X > 28.8) = 0.0475$ (accept 0.047–0.048) M1 correct standardisation for 29.1 A1 $P(X > 29.1) = 0.0038$ (accept 0.003–0.004) A1 cao 0.0800 (accept 0.079–0.081; ft provided method correct)
4(c)(i)	The histogram shows an approximately symmetric / bell-shaped distribution, supporting the use of a Normal-based significance test on the sample mean.	B1	B1 comment on approximate symmetry or normal shape <i>Do not accept "unimodal" without reference to symmetry</i>
4(c)(ii)	Significance test at 3% level (Let μ be the mean mass of Graham's packs in grams.) $H_0: \mu = 28.3$ $H_1: \mu > 28.3$ $x\blacksquare = 285 / 10 = 28.5$ $\sigma = 0.32$ $X\blacksquare \sim N(28.3, 0.32^2/10)$ under H_0 Method 1 (Test statistic) $TS = (28.5 - 28.3) / (0.32/\sqrt{10}) = 0.2 / 0.10119\dots = \mathbf{1.976}$ Critical value (one-tailed, 3%): $z_{crit} = \mathbf{1.881}$ Since $1.976 > 1.881$, reject H_0 . Method 2 (Critical region) CR: $x\blacksquare > 28.3 + 1.881 \times 0.32/\sqrt{10} = 28.3 + 0.1903 = 28.490$ Since $28.5 > 28.490$, reject H_0 . There is sufficient evidence at the 3% significance level to support Graham's claim that his packs are, on average, heavier than 28.3 g.	B1 B1 B1 M1 A1 B1 m1 A1	B1 correct hypotheses; H_1 one-tailed (greater); B0 for omission of μ or non-strict inequality B1 $x\blacksquare = 28.5$ used appropriately (not just calculated) B1 correct distribution of $X\blacksquare$ under H_0 stated or implied M1 for correct TS formula using $\sigma = 0.32/\sqrt{10}$ $x\blacksquare$ A1 $TS = 1.976$ (accept 1.97–1.98) B1 critical value 1.881 (accept 1.88) m1 correct comparison, dependent on previous M1 A1 conclusion in context referencing Graham's claim; cso — do not allow categorical statements
		16	

SECTION B – Differential Equations and Mechanics

Qu	Solution	Mark	Notes
5(a)	<p>Show $T_{AC} \approx 179 \text{ N}$; find T_{BC}</p> <p>Weight $W = 25 \times 9.8 = 245 \text{ N}$ Cable AC at 60° to vertical; cable BC at 45° to vertical.</p> <p>Resolve vertically (1): $T_{AC} \cos 60^\circ + T_{BC} \cos 45^\circ = 245$ $0.5 T_{AC} + (1/\sqrt{2}) T_{BC} = 245 \dots (1)$</p> <p>Resolve horizontally (→): $T_{BC} \sin 45^\circ = T_{AC} \sin 60^\circ$ $(1/\sqrt{2}) T_{BC} = (\sqrt{3}/2) T_{AC} \dots (2)$</p> <p>From (2): $T_{BC} = (\sqrt{6}/2) T_{AC}$</p> <p>Substitute into (1): $T_{AC} [0.5 + (\sqrt{3}/2)] = 245$ $T_{AC} \times 1.3660\dots = 245$ $T_{AC} = 179.3\dots \approx 179 \text{ N}$ (shown)</p> <p>$T_{BC} = (\sqrt{6}/2) \times 179.3 = 219 \text{ N}$ (3 s.f.)</p>	<p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>A1</p>	<p>M1 resolving either horizontally or vertically — no missing or extra forces</p> <p>A1 correct vertical equation, oe</p> <p>M1 correct horizontal equation</p> <p>A1 correct horizontal equation, oe</p> <p>A1 convincing derivation leading to $T_{AC} \approx 179 \text{ N}$, cso</p> <p>A1 cao $T_{BC} = 219 \text{ N}$ (accept BC 219–220 N)</p>
5(b)	<p>The traffic lights are modelled as a particle (all mass concentrated at point C), so their size and shape are ignored. The cables are assumed to be light and inextensible.</p>	B1	B1 any valid modelling assumption, e.g. particle, light cables, smooth connections

		7	
Qu	Solution	Mark	Notes
	Mass $m = 1.2 \text{ kg}$, $F = 30/(t + 2)^2 \text{ N}$. When $t = 0.5$, $v = 20 \text{ ms}^{-1}$.		
Qu	Solution	Mark	Notes
	Uniform rod AB, length 3.8 m , weight 180 N . Supports at C and D: $DB = 0.8 \text{ m}$, $CD = 1.8 \text{ m}$ ■ $AC = 1.2 \text{ m}$. Centre of rod G is at 1.9 m from A.		
6(a)	<p>R_C and R_D with W = 69 N at A</p> <p>R_D = 24 N Resolve vertically: R = $249 - 116 = 225 \text{ N c}$</p>	<p>M1 M1 A1 A1 M1 A1</p>	<p>M1 taking moments about one support to eliminate one unknown; dimensionally correct</p> <p>M1 correct moment equation A1 correct distances used, oe A1 cao $R_D = 24\text{N}$ M1 vertical resolution used A1 cao $R = 225 \text{ N c}$</p>
6(b)	<p>Greatest value of W for equilibrium Limiting case: R = 0 (rod tilts about D when W at A is too</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <p>W = 105 N</p>	<p>M1 M1 A1</p>	<p>M1 correct identification of limiting case ($R_D = 0$ correctly identified)</p> <p>M1 correct moment equation about D in limiting case cao $W = 105 \text{ N}$</p>

7(a)	<p>Expression for v; limiting value</p> <p>Newton's 2nd Law: $1.2 \, dv/dt = 30/(t + 2)^2 \, dv/dt$ $= 25/(t + 2)^2$</p> <p>Integrating: $v = \int 25(t + 2)^{-2} \, dt = -25/(t + 2) + C$</p> <p>Apply initial condition ($t = 0.5, v = 20$): $20 = -25/2.5 + C = -10 + C$ ■ $C = 30$ ■ $v = 30 - 25/(t + 2)$</p> <p>As $t \rightarrow \infty: 25/(t + 2) \rightarrow 0$, so limiting value of $v = 30 \, \text{ms}^{-1}$</p>	<p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>B1</p>	<p>M1 Newton's 2nd Law applied correctly</p> <p>A1 $dv/dt = 25/(t + 2)^2$</p> <p>M1 correct integration performed</p> <p>A1 $v = -25/(t + 2) + C$</p> <p>M1 applying initial condition to find C</p> <p>A1 $v = 30 - 25/(t + 2)$</p> <p>- B1 limiting value = $30 \, \text{ms}^{-1}$ (ft from their expression for v)</p>
7(b)	<p>Time to reach $v = 29 \, \text{ms}^{-1}$</p> <p>$29 = 30 - 25/(t + 2)$ $25/(t + 2) = 1$ ■ $t + 2 = 25$ ■ $t = 23 \, \text{s}$</p>	<p>M1</p> <p>A1</p>	<p>M1 correct equation set up using their expression for v cao $t = 23 \, \text{s}$</p>
		8	
Qu	Solution	Mark	Notes
	<i>Object mass 5 kg, projected up rough plane inclined at 30° to horizontal.</i>		
8(a)	<p>Show $\mu = 2\sqrt{3}/21$ given deceleration = $6.3 \, \text{ms}^{-2}$</p> <p>Normal reaction: $R = mg \cos 30^\circ = 5 \times 9.8 \times (\sqrt{3}/2) = 24.5\sqrt{3} \, \text{N}$</p> <p>Friction (opposing motion, down the plane): $F = \mu R = 24.5\sqrt{3} \mu$</p> <p>Apply N2L (up the plane, decelerating): $-mg \sin 30^\circ - F = m \times (-6.3)$ $-5 \times 9.8 \times 0.5 - 24.5\sqrt{3} \mu = 5 \times (-6.3)$ $-24.5 - 24.5\sqrt{3} \mu = -31.5$ $24.5\sqrt{3} \mu = 7.0 \mu = 7.0 / (24.5\sqrt{3}) = 2/(7\sqrt{3}) = 2\sqrt{3}/21$ (shown)</p>	<p>M1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>A1</p>	<p>M1 correct normal reaction found</p> <p>B1 friction force correctly expressed as μR</p> <p>M1 dimensionally correct equation of motion for object moving up plane; all forces/terms present</p> <p>A1 correct equation in μ</p> <p>A1 convincing derivation to show $\mu = 2\sqrt{3}/21$, cso</p>
8(b)	<p>Does object remain stationary after coming to rest? For the object to remain stationary, we need $\mu \geq \tan 30^\circ$.</p> <p>$\tan 30^\circ = 1/\sqrt{3} = \sqrt{3}/3 \approx 0.577$</p> <p>$\mu = 2\sqrt{3}/21 \approx 0.165$</p> <p>Since $\mu < \tan 30^\circ$, friction is insufficient to hold the object. The object will slide back down the plane.</p>	<p>M1</p> <p>A1</p>	<p>M1 correct comparison criterion identified ($\tan \theta$ vs μ, or comparing friction force required with maximum available)</p> <p>A1 correct conclusion — object slides back down — with valid numerical justification</p>

		7	
Qu	Solution	Mark	Notes
	Rocket R launched from O on horizontal ground. Position vector $r(t)$ given for $0 \leq t \leq 4$. At $t = 4$, fuel runs out; R moves as a projectile. Safe zone: horizontal from O to F, $OF = 600$ m.		
9(a)	Velocity vector $v(t)$; evaluate at $t = 4$ Differentiate $r(t)$ component-wise to obtain $v(t) = dr/dt$. [Differentiate the i-component and j-component of $r(t)$ separately.] Substitute $t = 4$ to find the velocity vector at $t = 4$.	M1 A1 A1	M1 correct differentiation of $r(t)$; both components differentiated A1 correct i-component of velocity at $t = 4$ A1 correct j-component of velocity at $t = 4$ <i>Award A1A1 ft from correct differentiation even if arithmetic error; both components must be evaluated at $t = 4$</i>
9(b)(i)	Show R takes ≈ 18 s to reach ground after fuel runs out At $t = 4$: use position $(x, y) = r(4)$ and velocity $(u_x, u_y) = v(4)$. Projectile phase (let t' = time after fuel runs out): Vertical: $y = y_4 + u_{y4} t' - \frac{1}{2} g (t')^2$ Set $y = 0$ (ground level) and solve the resulting quadratic in t' : Take the positive root ■ $t' \approx 18$ s (shown)	B1 M1 M1 A1	B1 correct position and velocity at $t = 4$ used as initial conditions for projectile M1 correct projectile equation for vertical displacement M1 quadratic set up and solved; positive root selected A1 correct derivation leading to $t' \approx 18$ s, cso <i>Exact numerical values for y_4 and u_{y4} depend on the printed $r(t)$ formula; credit correct method throughout</i>
9(b)(ii)	Does R land within the safe zone? Horizontal distance during projectile phase: $\Delta x = u_{x4} t' = u_{x4} \times 18$ Total horizontal distance from O = $x_4 + \Delta x$ Compare with 600 m: state clearly whether total ≤ 600 m or > 600 m, and conclude accordingly.	M1 A1	M1 horizontal distance calculated using $t' \approx 18$ and horizontal velocity component A1 correct conclusion with numerical justification and explicit comparison with 600 m (ft from (a) and (b)(i)) <i>A1 only awarded if comparison with 600 m is explicit and a definitive conclusion is given</i>
		9	
TOTAL FOR PAPER		80	